

# 2010-2011 Determinations

May 10, 2012  
Listen and Learn Webinar



# No New Action is Required

---

- The determinations create no need for additional actions in local agencies
- Those “Needs Assistance” have conducted corrective actions – some are complete, some are due to complete
- Subsequent verification using updated data will occur as need to close non-compliance not yet corrected

# The District Profile

District name

Local Educational Agency (LEA) Name

Towns: (where multiple towns make up the unit - blank if municipal)

This report displays the performance of the Child Development Services Site toward to the compliance requirements of the Individuals with Disabilities Act (IDEA) of 2004 as amended. The report is provided in four sections to address key aspects of the requirement: State Performance Plan Indicators, Timely and Accurate Data Reporting, and Adherence to IDEA Regulatory Provisions.

Compliance indicators

## COMPLIANCE INDICATOR PERFORMANCE

Indicator 9: Percent of disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification

Target

0%

LEA performance

Is the LEA in substantial compliance?

Yes

Indicator 10: Percent of disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification

0%

Yes

Indicator 11: Percent of children who were evaluated within 60 days of receiving parental consent for initial evaluation or within State established timeframe (45 school days)

100%

No

Indicator 13: Percent of youth with IEPs aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes appropriate measurable postsecondary goals

100%

No

Indicator 15: Percent of noncompliance identified and corrected as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification

100%

No

Data Requirements

## TIMELY AND ACCURATE DATA PROVIDED

Local Entitlement Application completed on time without significant error.

100%

LEA performance

Is the LEA in substantial compliance?

No

Child Count Data provided on time and validated accurate, complete, and representative.

100%

No

Improvement/Corrective Action Plan developed on time and approved.

100%

No

Regulatory Adherence

## ADHERENCE TO IDEA REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS AND PROVISIONS

Isolated Deficiencies identified were corrected as required by agreement with Maine Department of Education

100%

LEA performance

Is the LEA in substantial compliance?

No

Overall Determination

OVERALL DETERMINATION


Notes



# Determination Requirement

---

- Annual determination is a federal requirement
- Determination currently based on disproportionality and compliance indicators for the year 2010-2011
  - Zero districts exhibit disproportionate representation
  - Non-compliance was found in a number of districts during 2010-2011 program review monitoring



# Local Entitlement and Timely Data

---


- Local Entitlement in 2010 was due September 15, 2010
- Child Count data was due in time for our February 1, 2011 submission
- Late is indicated in the notes, but only those more than 15 days late factored into the determination



# Determination Process

---

- One or two indicators in non-compliance during the 2010-2011 program review resulted in “Needs Assistance” determination
- One or two data timeliness or adherence requirements less than 95% resulted in “Needs Assistance” determination
- All measures within 5% of meeting the target resulted in “Meet Requirement” determination



# Future Determinations

---

- We are working to develop a determination based on data that are both more current and appropriate
- We expect the improved system to be in place this year